



NCIA/ACQ/2020/7092
24 September 2020

To : Distribution List
Subject : Amendment 1 – RFQ-CO-115278-NATINAMDS
NCI Agency - Provision of GAG Radio Capability for NATINAMDS Extension to North Macedonia

References : A. AC/4-D/2261(1996 Edition)
B. Issuance of RFQ-CO-115278-NATINAMDS dated 10 September 2020

1. In accordance with Reference A, the purpose of this Amendment 1 to RFQ-CO-115278-NATINAMDS is to publish the answers to the Clarification Requests (CRs) received from Prospective Bidders (Attachment A).
2. The answers to CRs do not require any revision to the RFQ. All terms and conditions of the Request for Quotation remain unchanged.
3. The Bid Closing Date for RFQ-115278-NATINAMDS, as stated in reference B, remains unchanged as reflected hereafter.

Bid Closing Time for RFQ-115278-NATINAMDS is 14:00 (Brussels time) on 08 October 2020.

4. The Purchaser Point of Contact for all information concerning this RFQ is:

NCI Agency, Acquisition Directorate

Boulevard Léopold III 1110 Brussels, Belgium

POC: Ms Elif Bailey, Senior Contracting Assistant

TEL: +32 2 707 2259

E-mail: elif.bailey@ncia.nato.int.

FOR THE GENERAL MANAGER:

Elif Bailey

Senior Contracting Assistant

Enclosure:

Attachment A: RFQ-CO-115278-NATINAMDS – Amendment 1– Answers to Clarification Requests



**Distribution List for Request for Quotation
RFQ-CO-115278 NATINAMDS**

All Prospective Bidders	1
NATO Delegations (Attn: Investment Committee Adviser):	
Albania	1
Belgium	1
Bulgaria	1
Canada	1
Croatia	1
Czech Republic	1
Denmark	1
Estonia	1
France	1
Germany	1
Greece	1
Hungary	1
Iceland	1
Italy	1
Latvia	1
Lithuania	1
Luxembourg	1
The Netherlands	1
Montenegro	
Norway	1
North Macedonia	1
Poland	1
Portugal	1
Romania	1
Slovakia	1
Slovenia	1
Spain	1
Turkey	1
United Kingdom	1
United States	1

Distribution for information (Blind to Potential Industrial Suppliers):

NATO HQ

NATO Office of Resources, <i>Attn: Capability and Implementation Branch</i>	1
Director, NATO HQ C3 Staff, <i>Attn: Executive Coordinator</i>	1
SACTREPEUR, <i>Attn: Investment Assistant</i>	1

Strategic Commands

HQ SACT, <i>Attn: R&D Contracting Office</i>	1
ACO Liaison Office	1

NCI Agency – Internal Distribution

ACQ Director of Acquisition (Ms Jennifer Upton through Front Office)	1
ACQ Chief of Contracts (Ms Rebecca Benson)	1
ACQ Principal Contracting Officer (Mr Bill Maley)	1
ACQ Senior Contracting Officer (Ms Katharina Schwarz)	1
ACQ/CAB Secretary (Ms Carolien Biesemans)	1
ACQ ILS Chief (Mr Richard Proietto)	1
ACQ CE&A (Mr. Jacek Pachocki)	1
Legal Office (Ms Simona Rocchi/Mr Sean Elameto)	1
Finance (Mr Mariano Ippolito)	1
NLO (Mr Xavier Desfougeres)	1
NSII Service Line Chief (Mr Antonio Calderon)	1
NSII Project Manager (Mr Florian Bormand)	1
Registry (for distribution)	1
All NATEXs	

Attachment A – Answers to Clarification Requests

24 September 2020

Technical					
Serial #	RFQ Book	RFQ Section Ref.	Question:	Answer:	Published by Amendment #
T.1.	Book II, Part IV, SOW	Para 4..9.1	In order to asses need for filtering and solve costing problem is there a minimum frequency spacing (minimum distance among channels) to be considered?	The frequency spacing is as defined by the publications described in paragraphs 4.3.1.1 and 4.3.2.1.	1
T.2.	Book II, Part IV, SOW	Para 4..9.1	If filters come as necessary, system accommodation must remain in just only one rack with 15 RU?	In the event that filters are considered as necessary and if such equipment does not fit into the rack space, the rack maybe enlarged in the strictly necessary amount of space to fit these devices.	1
T.3.	Book II, Part IV, SOW	Para 5.2.5.2	Could you confirm that for the antennas installation no preliminary study is needed to verify propagation diagram (area coverage) and only mutual coupling and receiver sensitivity degradation must be assessed (with reference to minimum frequency spacing question T1)?	We confirm that no coverage study is necessary to be performed. Mutual coupling and receiver desensitization shall be avoided in the radio installation, especially in what concerns to civilian and military guard bands (please see SOW para 4.9.1).	1
T.4.	Book II, Part IV, SOW	Para 5.2.5.2	Could footprint area study be offered as option?	We confirm that no footprint area study is necessary to be performed.	1

Technical					
Serial #	RFQ Book	RFQ Section Ref.	Question:	Answer:	Published by Amendment #
T.5.	Book II, Part IV, SOW	Para 3.3.3	If proposed solution would be to use more than four antenna cables, additional transition could be installed if needed?	Understanding the word “transition” as the building transition box capacity to host antenna cables, there is space to install a minimum of 4 antenna cables, as stated in paragraph 3.3.3 and a maximum of 6 antenna cables. The Contractor shall use the Site Survey period to confirm the site conditions.	1
T.6.	Book II, Part IV, SOW	Para 5.2.5.1	Could you confirm that Antenna tower is able to support all antennas needed without any need for its technical assessment?	The antenna tower is prepared to support all antennas that comply with the specifications provided by the SOW (please see in particular SOW sections 3.3, 4.4 and 5). The Contractor shall use the Site Survey period to confirm the site conditions.	1